

Evaluation of an Intervention to Introduce Intermediate Simulation in India Tera Deupree, SN

Faculty Mentor: Shelby L. Garner PhD, RN, CNE Baylor University Louise Herrington School of Nursing



Background

Simulation education gives nursing students the ability to demonstrate knowledge and skills in a clinical setting while building self-confidence in clinical decision-making skills (Ahn, et. al, 2015). Simulation is a new educational concept in India. It is important to evaluate simulation scenarios for effectiveness, particularly in settings where simulation is new.

Purpose

To evaluate a nursing simulation scenario using intermediate fidelity simulation equipment in India.



Methods

A quantitative descriptive study was performed in August 2016. A convenience sample of eight nursing students in Bengaluru, India participated in an intermediate fidelity nursing simulation on bacterial meningitis (Campbell & Daley, 2013) and a simulation using a standardized patient (NLN, 2016). Students completed the Simulation Design Scale (NLN, 2005) to evaluate each scenario.

Results

Ratings were favorable in all subcategories of the scale with each item rated as agree (4) or strongly agree (5). Mean ratings for subcategories were:

Objectives and Information = 4.8, Support = 7.28,

Problem Solving = 4.8, Feedback/ Guided Reflection = 4.8, Fidelity (Realism) = 4.9.

Discussion

The evaluation of the implementation of simulation education will provide the opportunity to assess the impact of simulation in nursing education in India, where simulation in nursing education is just emerging. In expanding the scope of simulation education to India, the international community will gain perspective on the use of simulation in nursing education and its effects on nursing knowledge, skills, and confidence in training nursing students.

The Tool

Simulation Design Scale (Student Version)
In order to measure if the best simulation design elements were implemented in your simulation, please complete the survey
below as you perceive it. There are no right or wrong answers, only your perceived amount of agreement or disagreement.

Use the following rating system when assessing the simulation design elements: 1 - Strongly Disagree with the statement 2 - Disagree with the statement 3 - Undecided - you neither agree or disagree with the statement 4 - Agree with the statement 5 - Strongly Agree with the statement NA - Not Applicable; the statement does not pertain to the simulation activity performed.								Rate each item based upon how import that item is to you. 1 - Not Important 2 - Somewhat Important 3 - Neutral 4 - Important 5 - Very Important				
Item	1	2	3	4	5	NA	1	2	3	4	Τ	
Objectives and Information											Ť	
There was enough information provided at the begining of the simulation to provide direction and encouragement.	01	O 2	O 3	04	05	O NA	01	02	03	04		
I clearly understood the purpose and objectives of the simulation.	01	02	03	04	05	O NA	01	02	O 3	04	(
The simulation provided enough information in a clear matter for me to problem-solve the situation.	01	02	O 3	04	O 5	O NA	01	02	03	04		
There was enough information provided to me during the simulation.	01	02	03	04	05	O NA	01	02	03	04		
The cues were appropriate and geared to promote my understanding.	01	02	03	04	05	O NA	01	02	03	04		
Support											1	
Support was offered in a timely manner.	01	02	03	04	05	O NA	01	02	03	04	(
My need for help was recognized.	01	02	03	04	05	O NA	01	02	03	04	(
I felt supported by the teacher's assistance during the simulation.	01	02	03	04	05	O NA	01	02	03	04	4	
I was supported in the learning process.	01	02	03	04	05	O NA	01	02	03	04	(
Item	1	2	3	4	5	NA	1	2	3	4		
Problem Solving												
10. Independent problem-solving was												
facilitated.	01	02	03	04	05	O NA	01	02	03	04		
	01	02	03	04	0.5	O NA	01	02	03	04	╀	
facilitated. 11. I was encouraged to explore all											(
facilitated. 11. I was encouraged to explore all possibilities of the simulation. 12. The simulation was designed for my	01	02	03	04	0.5	ONA	01	02	03	04		
facilitated. 11. I was encouraged to explore all possibilities of the simulation. 12. The simulation was designed for my specific level of knowledge and skills. 13. The simulation allowed me the opportunity to prioritize nursing assessments and care. 14. The simulation provided me an opportunity to goal set for my patient.	01	O 2	03	04	05	O NA	01	02	03	04		
facilitated. 11. I was encouraged to explore all possibilities of the simulation. 12. The simulation was designed for my specific level of knowledge and skills. 13. The simulation allowed me the opportunity to prioritize nursing assessments and care. 14. The simulation provided me an opportunity to goal set for my patient. Feedback/Guided Reflection	01 01 01	O 2 O 2 O 2	O3 O3 O3	O4 O4 O4	O 5 O 5	O NA O NA O NA	01 01 01	O2 O2 O2	O3 O3	O4 O4 O4		
facilitated. 11. I was encouraged to explore all possibilities of the simulation. 12. The simulation was designed for my specific level of knowledge and skills. 13. The simulation allowed me the opportunity to prioritize nursing assessments and care. 14. The simulation provided me an opportunity to goal set for my patient. Feedback/Guided Reflection 15. Feedback provided was constructive.	01 01 01	O2 O2 O2	O3 O3 O3 O3	O4 O4 O4 O4	O5 O5 O5	O NA O NA O NA O NA	01 01 01	O2 O2 O2	O3 O3 O3	04 04 04		
facilitated. 11. I was encouraged to explore all possibilities of the simulation. 12. The simulation was designed for my specific level of knowledge and skills. 13. The simulation allowed me the opportunity to prioritize nursing assessments and care. 14. The simulation provided me an opportunity to goal set for my patient. Feedback/Guided Reflection 15. Feedback provided was constructive. 16. Feedback was provided in a timely manner.	01 01 01 01	O2 O2 O2 O2 O2	O3 O3 O3 O3 O3	O4 O4 O4 O4 O4	O5 O5 O5	O NA O NA O NA O NA	01 01 01 01	O2 O2 O2 O2	03 03 03 03	04 04 04 04		
facilitated. 11. I was encouraged to explore all possibilities of the simulation. 12. The simulation was designed for my specific level of knowledge and skills. 13. The simulation allowed me the opportunity to prioritize nursing assessments and care. 14. The simulation provided me an opportunity to goal set for my patient. Feedback/Guided Reflection 15. Feedback provided was constructive. 16. Feedback was provided in a timely manner. 17. The simulation allowed me to analyze my own behavior and actions.	01 01 01	O2 O2 O2	O3 O3 O3 O3	O4 O4 O4 O4	O5 O5 O5	O NA O NA O NA	01 01 01	O2 O2 O2	O3 O3 O3	04 04 04	(
facilitated. 11. I was encouraged to explore all possibilities of the simulation. 12. The simulation was designed for my specific level of knowledge and skills. 13. The simulation allowed me the opportunity to prioritize nursing assessments and care. 14. The simulation provided me an opportunity to goal set for my patient. Feedback/Guided Reflection 15. Feedback provided was constructive. 16. Feedback was provided in a timely manner. 17. The simulation allowed me to analyze my own behavior and actions. 18. There was an opportunity after the simulation to obtain guidance/feedback from the teacher in order to build knowledge to another level.	01 01 01 01	O2 O2 O2 O2 O2 O2	O3 O3 O3 O3 O3 O3	O4 O4 O4 O4 O4 O4	O5 O5 O5 O5	O NA O NA O NA O NA	01 01 01 01 01	O2 O2 O2 O2	03 03 03 03	04 04 04 04		
facilitated. 11. I was encouraged to explore all possibilities of the simulation. 12. The simulation was designed for my specific level of knowledge and skills. 13. The simulation allowed me the opportunity to prioritize nursing assessments and care. 14. The simulation provided me an opportunity to goal set for my patient. Feedback/Guided Reflection 15. Feedback provided was constructive. 16. Feedback was provided in a timely manner. 17. The simulation allowed me to analyze my own behavior and actions. 18. There was an opportunity after the simulation to obtain guidance/feedback from the teacher in order to build	01 01 01 01	O2 O2 O2 O2 O2 O2	O3 O3 O3 O3 O3 O3	O4 O4 O4 O4 O4 O4	O5 O5 O5 O5	O NA O NA O NA O NA O NA	01 01 01 01 01	O2 O2 O2 O2 O2	03 03 03 03	04 04 04 04 04		
facilitated. 11. I was encouraged to explore all possibilities of the simulation. 12. The simulation was designed for my specific level of knowledge and skills. 13. The simulation allowed me the opportunity to prioritize nursing assessments and care. 14. The simulation provided me an opportunity to goal set for my patient. Feedback/Guided Reflection 15. Feedback provided was constructive. 16. Feedback was provided in a timely manner. 17. The simulation allowed me to analyze my own behavior and actions. 18. There was an opportunity after the simulation to obtain guidance/feedback from the teacher in order to build knowledge to another level.	01 01 01 01	O2 O2 O2 O2 O2 O2	O3 O3 O3 O3 O3 O3	O4 O4 O4 O4 O4 O4	O5 O5 O5 O5	O NA O NA O NA O NA O NA O NA	01 01 01 01 01	O2 O2 O2 O2 O2	03 03 03 03	04 04 04 04 04		

References

Ahn, H., & Kim, H. (2015). Implementation and outcome evaluation of high-fidelity simulation scenarios to integrate cognitive and psychomotor skills for Korean nursing students. *Nurse Education Today, 35*(5), 706-711. doi:10.1016/j.nedt.2015.01.021

Campbell, S. & Daley, K. (2013). Simulation scenarios for nursing educators. 2nd ed. New York, NY: Springer Publishing Company LLC.

National League for Nursing (2016). *Millie Larson ACES: Advancing Care Excellence for Seniors*. Retrieved from

http://nln.org/professional-development-programs/teaching-resources/aging/ace-s/unfolding-cases/millie-larsen

National League for Nursing (2005). Simulation Design Scale (student version). Retrieved from

http://www.nln.org/docs/default-source/professional-development-programs/nln-instrument_simulation-design-scale.pdf?sfvrsn=0

Shin, H., & Kim, M. J. (2014). Evaluation of an Integrated Simulation Courseware in a Pediatric Nursing Practicum. *Journal of Nursing Education*, *53*(10), 589-594. doi: 10.3928/01484834-20140922-05